

PHYS 100C, Lecture 7

Tuesday, April 21, 2009
6:30 AM

* Gauge Transform. (Cont'd):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} A' &= A + \alpha \\ V' &= V + \beta \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ give the same } E, B \text{ as } A, V$$

$$B = \nabla \times A = \nabla \times A' \Rightarrow \nabla \times \alpha = 0$$

$$\alpha = \nabla \lambda \quad \text{where } \lambda \text{ is scalar}$$

$$E = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = -\nabla V' - \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} \Rightarrow$$

$$\nabla \beta + \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \text{or } \alpha = \nabla \lambda$$

$$\nabla \left(\beta + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \right) = 0$$

$$\text{Solution: } \beta = -\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} A' &= A + \nabla \lambda \\ V' &= V - \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ Give identical } E, B \text{ for any scalar field } \lambda$$

↑
Gauge transformations


* Coulomb Gauge:

$$\nabla \cdot A = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla^2 V = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

Solution is

$$V(r, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(r', t)}{r} d\tau'$$

* Note: three V 's:



$$V(r_{OB}, t) = \dots \int \frac{\rho(r_{OA}, t)}{r_{OB}} d\tau$$

Physics Problem with
Coulomb gauge:

V is instantaneous (depends
on "right now" charge density
 $\rho(r_{OA}, t)$, far away).

E is "retarded" or delayed,

by: $E = -\nabla V - \underbrace{\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}}_{\text{this part}}$

* Far Superior, Awesomer Gauge:

Lorentz Gauge:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = -\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$$

to kill of the ugly 2nd part in:

$$\left(\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} - \underbrace{\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2}}_{\frac{1}{c^2}} \right) - \underbrace{\nabla \left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right)}_{=0!} = -\mu_0 \mathbf{J}$$

Remaining part:

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \mathbf{A} = -\mu_0 \mathbf{J}$$

and $\nabla^2 V + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$ becomes

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) V = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

Introduce d'Alembertian:

$$\square^2 = \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (ict)^2}$$

("ict" is complex ^{four-dimensional} space-time coordinate)

Maxwell's Eq's (1) and (4) reduced:

$$\square^2 V = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\square^2 \mathbf{A} = -\mu_0 \mathbf{J}$$

(Eqs (2), (3) are already satisfied)

it takes time for light to travel distance z :

potentials evaluated at "retarded" time $t_r \equiv t - \frac{z}{c}$

$$V(r, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(r', t_r)}{z} d\tau'$$

$$A(r, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{J(r', t_r)}{z} d\tau'$$

* does not work for $E(r, t)$ or $B(r, t)$ - by simply integrating Coulomb's & Biot-Savart's Laws evaluated at time $t_r = t - \frac{z}{c}$

Proof that retarded potential (shown for $V(r, t)$) satisfy wave Equation (formerly known as Poisson Eq):

$$\nabla^2 V + \frac{1}{c^2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\nabla V = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \left[(\nabla \rho) \frac{1}{z} + \rho \nabla \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \right] d\tau'$$

$$\nabla(r) = \hat{r} \quad \text{Why?}$$

if we make $\hat{x} \parallel \hat{r}$

$$\nabla(r) = \hat{x} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x) = \hat{x} = \hat{r}$$

(Even more obvious in spherical coord.)

More cumbersome proof:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \cdot 2x$$

Same for $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$:

$$\nabla r = \frac{x\hat{x} + y\hat{y} + z\hat{z}}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} = \frac{\vec{r}}{r} = \hat{r}$$

$$\nabla\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) = -\frac{1}{r^2} \cdot \nabla r = -\frac{\hat{r}}{r^2}$$

(Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) = -\frac{1}{r^2} \cdot \frac{\partial r}{\partial x}$ etc.)

$$\nabla \phi = \hat{x} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \dots$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_r} \cdot \frac{\partial t_r}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{1}{c} \cdot \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} \quad \left(t = t - \frac{r}{c}\right)$$

$$\nabla \phi = \underbrace{\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_r}}_{\dot{\phi}} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{c}\right) \underbrace{\nabla r}_{\hat{r}} = -\frac{\dot{\phi}}{c} \cdot \hat{r}$$

$$\nabla V = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \left(-\frac{\dot{p}}{c} \cdot \frac{\hat{z}}{z} - \rho \frac{\hat{z}}{z^2} \right) d\tau'$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 V = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int & \left[\frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{\hat{z}}{z} \cdot \nabla \dot{p} + \dot{p} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\hat{z}}{z} \right) \right) - \left(\frac{\hat{z}}{z^2} \cdot (\nabla \rho) + \rho \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{z}}{z} \right) \right) \right] d\tau' \\ & \text{cancel out} \\ & - \frac{1}{c} \dot{p} \underbrace{\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{z}}{z} \right)}_{1/z^2} - \frac{\hat{z}}{z^2} \underbrace{(\nabla \rho)}_{-\dot{p} \hat{z}} = -\frac{1}{c} \dot{p} \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{\hat{z}}{z^2} \cdot \frac{\dot{p}}{c} \hat{z} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla \dot{p} = -\frac{\ddot{p}}{c} \hat{z}$$

$$\text{and } \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{z}}{z^2} \right) = 4\pi \delta^3(\vec{r})$$

$$\nabla^2 V = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \left(\frac{\ddot{p}}{c^2 z} - 4\pi \rho \delta^3(\vec{r}) \right) d\tau'$$

$$\int \rho \cdot \delta^3(\vec{r}) d\tau' = \rho(\vec{r}=0)$$

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\ddot{p}}{c^2 z} d\tau' = \frac{1}{c^2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{p}{z} d\tau' \right)}_V$$

$$\nabla^2 V = \frac{1}{c^2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\square^2 V = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

* Question (from Jeff, Mike, Scott).

V at observer position, time t
 as a function of ρ at time $t_R = t - r/c$
 where r is distance from source
 to observer. When we derived $\nabla^2 V$
 as a function of ρ , are they still
 evaluated at time t and t_R , resp.?

Answer: when we did $\int \rho(\vec{r}, t_R) \cdot \delta^3(\vec{r}) d\tau =$
 $= \rho(\vec{r}=0, t_R) \cdot 4\pi$

$\vec{r}=0$ means that ρ is evaluated at observer
 position ($\vec{r}_{OA} = \vec{r}_{OB}$ and $\vec{r}_{AB} = \vec{0}$).

Therefore $t = t_R$ ($r/c = 0$)

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) V(\vec{r}_{OB}, t) = - \frac{\rho(\vec{r}_{OA}, r_{AB}=0, t_R)}{\epsilon_0}$$


or:

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) V(\vec{r}, t) = - \frac{\rho(\vec{r}, t)}{\epsilon_0}$$

* Question: why is $\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{r}}{r^2} \right) = 4\pi \delta^3(r)$

A: Divergence (Greene's) theorem:

$$\int_{\text{Volume}} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}) d\tau = \oint_{\text{Area}} \mathbf{g} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$



(See 1.3.4 & 1.5.1)

$$\mathbf{g} = \frac{\hat{r}}{r^2} \Rightarrow \oint_R \mathbf{g} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{R^2} \cdot 4\pi R^2 = 4\pi$$

$$g = \frac{\hat{r}}{r^2} \Rightarrow \oint_R g \cdot dS = \frac{1}{R^2} \cdot 4\pi R^2 = 4\pi$$

Independent of R !

Source of "field" exists
only at $R=0$.

Just like charge " 4π "
centered at $R=0$,
infinitely small.

$$\int_{\text{volume}} (\nabla \cdot g) \cdot dV' = 4\pi$$

for any infinitesimal volume
that includes $R=0$

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{r}}{r^2} \right) = \delta^3(\vec{r}) \cdot 4\pi$$

Similarly, for $\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{r}}{r} \right)$:

$$g = \frac{\hat{r}}{r}$$

Area integral over sphere R :

$$\oint_R g \cdot dS = \frac{4\pi R^2}{R} = 4\pi R$$

R

$$\int_{\text{volume}} (\nabla \cdot g) \cdot dV' = 4\pi R$$

$$dV = 4\pi r^2 \cdot dr$$

$$\int_R (\nabla \cdot g) \cdot 4\pi r^2 \cdot dr = 4\pi R$$

0

$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}) = \frac{1}{r^2}, \text{ then}$$

$$\int_0^R \frac{1}{r^2} \cdot 4\pi r^2 \cdot dr = \int_0^R 4\pi \cdot dr = 4\pi R$$

* Deep, far-ot observation:

$$\square^2 = \nabla^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{c^2 \partial t^2} \quad \text{is symmetrical}$$

w.r. to $t' = -t$ substitution.

Reversing the "arrow of time"
is not changing anything!

As a result, advanced potentials

$$t_A = t + \frac{r}{c} \quad \text{give a solution,}$$

just like retarded potentials.

PRACTICALLY, CAUSALITY AND
ENTROPY DEFINES "ARROW OF TIME".